

Richard Kristoffersson richard.kristoffersson @naturvardsverket.se

2020-11-03 Ärendenr: NV-06662-20

The Ministry of the Environment Kirjaamo@ym.fi

Swedish answers to the notification in accordance with Articles 3 of the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention) regarding the wind farm lifetime extension of Loviisa Powerplant.

Sweden received a notification from the Ministry of the Environment in Finland to participate in consultation under Article 3 of the Espoo Convention in relation to the planned lifetime extension of the nuclear powerplant Loviisa.

Finland has fulfilled their responsibility following from article 3 of the Espoo Convention by providing the Swedish environmental protection agency (EPA) with a notification regarding the project.

The Swedish EPA has in turn invited Swedish government agencies, organizations, the public and other parties to give consultation comments on the EIA program.

## **Consultation in Sweden**

The notification and the attached documents have been circulated for consideration to Swedish central government agencies, county administrative boards, municipalities, organizations and the public during the period from 2<sup>nd</sup> of September to the 28<sup>th</sup> of October. The documents have also been available on the Swedish EPA website www.naturvardsverket.se/Esbo

The Swedish EPA is the authority responsible for fulfilling the obligations following from, inter alia, Article 3 of the Espoo Convention. However, the Swedish EPA has no responsibility to evaluate the consultations received in the context of the Swedish national consultation procedure with a view to presenting an overall Swedish position. For a comprehensive view of the consultations, we refer to the enclosed statements received.

BESÖK: STOCKHOLM – VIRKESVÄGEN 2 ÖSTERSUND – FORSKARENS VÄG 5, HUS UB

POST: 106 48 STOCKHOLM TEL: 010-698 10 00 FAX: 010-698 16 00

E-POST: REGISTRATOR@NATURVARDSVERKET.SE INTERNET: WWW.NATURVARDSVERKET.SE

NATURVÅRDSVERKET 2(3)

### Consultations comments received

A brief summary of the statements is included below, please note that the summary is written on behalf of the Swedish EPA and not the body to which a proposal is referred for consideration:

Försvarets forkningsinstitut (FOI), Sveriges meteorologiska och hydrologiska institut (SMHI), Myndigheten för samhällsskydd och beredskap, Länsstyrelsen i Uppsala, Havs och Vattenmyndigheten (HaV), Länsstyrelsen I Stockholm, replied that they had no further comments.

*Jordbruksverket* express the need for further participation in the upcoming process. Their comments regard potential risks due to potential accidents and highligts the need to assess the risks connected to releases of radioactive substances and the effects on agriculture, animal husbandry, fishing etc. *For full statements, please see attachment.* 

Strålsäkerhetsmyndigheten (SSM) express the need for further participation in the upcoming EIA process. SSM acknowledge that the EIA-program is ambitious and that the program is well supported through science. However, in case of a severe accident, impact on ground cover in Sweden cannot be ruled out. Potential emissions should be reduced through the use of best available technique (BAT). For full statements, please see attachment.

**Sametinget** considers that the developer should in its EIA consider the reindeer husbandry area in Sweden and describe what the company should do to mitigate the damage, for the Sami culture with reindeer husbandry and other Sami industries, in the event of an accident. .For full statements, please see attachment.

*Miljövänner för kärnkraft (MFK)* recognizes the high safety culture shown in Finland, MFK believes that there is no need for Sweden's participation in the environmental impact assessment. *For full statements, please see attachment.* 

Miljöorganisationernas kärnavfallsgranskning (MKG) sees the risk that Sweden may subject to the threat of considerable environmental impact if the lifetime extension takes place and express the opinion that Sweden should take part in the continued consultation process. The main reason for this opinion is that as a nuclear reactor ages there is an increasing risk for a major nuclear accident. For full statements, please see attachment.

Folkkampanjen mot Kärnkraft-Kärnvapen (FMKK) comments on the old age of the Loviisa powerplant and potential risks connected to that. FMKK conciders the 0 alternative to be the only realistic and responsible alternative. For full statements, please see attachment.

The Swedish EPA also received several appendices from the public whish is also enclosed to this letter, please see attached.

NATURVÅRDSVERKET 3(3)

# The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency's concluding opinion

Based on the comments received, Sweden hereby express the wish to participate further in the upcoming EIA process.

\_\_\_\_\_

As the decision has been made electronically there is no need for signatures.

For the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency

Karolina Ardesjö-Lundén Head of Unit

> Richard Kristoffersson Point of contact for the Espoo Convention

## **Attachments**

Comments from Jordbruksverket

Comments from FOI

Comments from SMHI

Comments from länsstyrelsen Uppsala

Comments from länsstyrelsen Stockholm

Comments from miljövänner för kärnkraft

Comments from HaV

Comments from MSB

Comments from SSM

Comments from MKG

Comments from FMKK

Comments from Sametinget

Comments from the public

## $\mathbf{Cc}$

The Ministry of the Environment in Finland, Seija Rantakallio The Ministry of Environment in Sweden, Emma Sjöberg